EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Cabinet Member



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number – HASC02 20/21

Deci	sion
I	Title of decision: Creation of a Local Authority Trading Company for Care Services
2	Decision maker Councillor Kate Taylor
3	Report author and contact details: Gary Walbridge Tel: 305253; Mob 07876397210;
	Email: gary.walbridge@plymouth.gov.uk
4	Decision to be taken:
	The Leader has authorised Cllr Taylor to deal with matters related to the company formation and appointment of member representatives. Accordingly, Cllr Taylor makes the following decisions:
	 To approve the business case for establishing a Local Authority Trading Company (LATC) for care services to be able to respond to market failure events. To approve the setting up of a Local Authority Trading Company for care services To approve the appointment of David Northey, Head of Integrated Finance and Gary Walbridge, Head of Adult Social Care and Retained Functions as Directors of the Local Authority Trading Company To approve Craig McArdle, Strategic Director for People to act as member representative for the Local Authority Trading Company and to exercise all voting rights on behalf of the Council as member of the company subject to Key Decisions (as defined by the Council's Constitution) being reserved to the Leader/ Cabinet) and take any necessary action to protect, safeguard and effectively manage the Council's interest in the Local Authority Trading Company. To delegate authority to Craig McArdle, Strategic Director for People and Director of Adult Social Care to make decisions in consultation with the relevant portfolio holder to award care services to the Local Authority Trading Company.
5	Reasons for decision:
	Over the past 2 years there have been a number of occasions where homecare sector service providers have failed or advised PCC at very short notice that they can no longer deliver their contracted service provision. Time is of the essence in these circumstances and there is rarely time for market development activities or to run a standard procurement exercise.
	The difficulty that PCC had in sourcing alternative provision on these occasions highlights the fragility of the Adult Care market in Plymouth. Where alternative providers cannot be sourced from the existing market in sufficient time (or at all), the only options currently available to PCC are for an in-house service transfer or to close the service down. An LATC would provide a third potentially more cost effective response to service failure which could provide either short or longer term solutions to stabilise the market, protect services and assure quality standards.

6	Alternative options considered and re	ejected	:				
	Other options available would be to establi	sh one	of the fo	llowing vehicles.			
	 Company Limited by Shares Mutual Charity Social Enterprise 						
	Limited Liability Partnership						
7	Financial implications:						
	The initial set-up costs are estimated to be ensuring the LATC has access to the neces series of service level agreements with the	sary sys	tems and				
8	Is the decision a Key Decision?	Yes	No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:			
	(please contact <u>Democratic Support</u> for further advice)		x	in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of £3million in total			
			x	in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £1 million			
			x	is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority.			
	If yes, date of publication of the notice in the <u>Forward Plan of Key</u> <u>Decisions</u>						
9	Please specify how this decision is linked to the Council's corporate plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the policy framework and/or the revenue/capital budget:	of high the ob fairer, citizen indepe The de set ou Respo legal re clear t	The aim of the decision is to ensure we provide continuity of high quality and safe personalised care and so supports the objectives of Caring Plymouth: "We will promote a fairer, more equal city by investing in communities, putting citizens at the heart of decision-making, promoting independence and reducing health and social inequality." The decision also supports our statutory responsibilities as set out in the Care Act 2014 - Market Failure Responsibilities: The Care Act gives local authorities clear legal responsibilities where a care provider fails. It makes it clear that local authorities have a duty to ensure that the				
		needs provid becaus they a all pec local a any ot	of peop ler beco se of bus re receiv ple rece uthority her way	le continue to be met should their care me unable to continue to provide care siness failure, no matter what type of care ving. Local authorities have responsibilities to siving care, regardless of whether they or the pay for that care, or whether it is funded in . This decision provides a mechanism to sponsibility is met.			

				an- HEA3– supporting adults with ocial care needs		
		preve	nting harı	ntinuity of care from quality service provisior m, safeguarding and ensure residents and nsulted and treated with dignity and respect.		
environmenta	l implications of the					
ent decisions						
implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the public?		Yes		(If yes, please contact Democratic Suppor (<u>democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk</u>) for advice)		
F		No	x	(If no, go to section 13a)		
	gency:					
Scrutiny Chair Signature:			Date	2		
Scrutiny Committee name:						
Print Name:						
sultation						
Are any other Cabinet members'						
portfolios affe	cted by the decision?	No	x	(If no go to section 14)		
Date Cabinet	member consulted					
Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the				If yes, please discuss with the Monitoring Officer		
decision?		No	×			
Which Corporate Management Team member has been consulted?		Nam	e	Craig McArdle		
		Job title		Strategic Director for People		
				15 March 2021		
	environmenta decision (carb mt decisions Is the decisio implemented interests of the public? Reason for un Scrutiny Chair Signature: Scrutiny Chair Signature: Scrutiny Committee name: Print Name: Print Name: Utation Are any other portfolios affe Which other of portfolio is affe Date Cabinet Has any Cabin conflict of inter decision?	Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the public?Reason for urgency:Scrutiny Chair Signature:Scrutiny Committee name:Print Name:Which other Cabinet members' portfolios affected by the decision?Which other Cabinet member's portfolio is affected by the decision?Date Cabinet member consultedHas any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision?Which Corporate Management	Healt By enarprevere familie Please specify any direct environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact) Implemented implications of the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the public? Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the public? Yes Reason for urgency: No Scrutiny Chair Signature: Scrutiny Chair Signature: Scrutiny Chair Signature: Scrutiny Chair Signature: Print Name: Print Name: Print Name: Yes Mo Mo Mare any other Cabinet members' portfolios affected by the decision? Yes No Mo Which other Cabinet member's portfolio is affected by the decision? Yes Is any Cabinet member consulted Yes Which Corporate Management feature to the decision? No Which Corporate Management feature to the decision? No Which Corporate Management feature to the decision? No	health and so By ensuring co preventing har families are con preventing har families are con prevention impact)Preventing har families are con preventing har families are con prevention impact)Please specify any direct implemented impediately in the implemented immediately in the proton of urgency:YesIScrutiny Chair signature:Scrutiny Chair signature:PatePateScrutiny Chair signature:YesIIPrint Name:YesIIAre any other Cabinet members' portfolio is affected by the decision?YesIVhich other Cabinet member's portfolio is affected by the decision?YesIIIIIHas any Cabinet member consultedYesINoxIHas any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision?YesINoxIWhich Corporate Management Team member has been consulted?NameIName DotII		

16	Sign off codes from the relevant departments consulted:			Democratic Support (mandatory)					DS114 20/21		
				Finance (mandatory) Legal (mandatory)					20.21.27	4	
									6343/12	0321	
			Hum	Human Resources (if applicable) Corporate property (if applicable) Procurement (if applicable)							
			Procu								
Арр	pendic	es									
17	Ref.	Ref. Title of appendix									
	A	Briefing report for publication (man Trading Company for Care Se						n of a Lo	ocal Aut	hority	
	В	Equalities Impact Assessment (wher	e required) Atta	ache	ed					
Con	fident	ial/exempt information									
	Do y	ial/exempt information you need to include any idential/exempt information?	Yes		br	iefing rep	are a seco ort and in by virtue	idicate w	hy it is n	ot for	
Con I 8a	Do y	ou need to include any	Yes	x	br – pu of th	iefing rep Iblication the Loca e relevan Ceep as m	ort and in by virtue I Governr t box in I uch inforr	idicate w of Part I nent Act 8b below nation as	vhy it is n of Sched : 1972 by w. s possible	ot for ule 12A ticking in the	
	Do y	ou need to include any		x	br pu of th (K br	iefing rep Iblication the Loca e relevan Ceep as m	ort and in by virtue I Governr t box in I	idicate w of Part I nent Act 8b below nation as	vhy it is n of Sched : 1972 by w. s possible	ot for ule 12A ticking in the	
	Do y	ou need to include any			br pu of th (K br do	iefing rep ublication the Loca e relevan ceep as m iefing rep omain)	ort and in by virtue I Governr t box in I uch inforr	ndicate w of Part I nent Act 8b below nation as vill be in	yhy it is n of Sched 1972 by w. s possible the publi	ot for ule 12A ticking in the	
	Do y	ou need to include any			br pu of th (K br do	iefing rep ublication the Loca e relevan ceep as m iefing rep omain)	ort and in by virtue I Governr t box in I uch inforr ort that v	ndicate w of Part I nent Act 8b below nation as vill be in	yhy it is n of Sched 1972 by w. s possible the publi	ot for ule 12A ticking in the	
	Do y confi	rou need to include any idential/exempt information? fidential/exempt briefing report	No		br pu of th (K br dc	iefing rep iblication the Loca e relevan Leep as m iefing rep pmain)	ort and in by virtue I Governr t box in I uch inforr ort that v Paragra	ndicate w of Part I nent Act 8b below nation as vill be in ph Nun	yhy it is n of Sched 1972 by w. s possible the publi	ot for ule 12A ticking in the c	

19 Please list all unpublished, background papers relevant to the decision in the table below.

Background papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, relied on to a material extent in preparing the report, which disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based. If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box.

OFFICIAL

	Title of background paper(s)		E	Exemption Paragraph Number							
			1 2	3	4	5	6	7			
Cab	inet Meml	per Signature									
20	promote of people wh	e decision and confirm that it i e Plan or Budget. In taking this equality of opportunity, elimina to share protected characteris ase see the EIA attached.	decision I have give ate unlawful discrim	n due regar nation and	d to the (promote	Council's good rel	s duty to ations b	etwee			
	promote of people wh	e Plan or Budget. In taking this equality of opportunity, elimin 10 share protected characteris	decision I have give ate unlawful discrim	n due regar nation and ities Act an	d to the (promote	Council's good rel 'ho do no	s duty to ations b ot. For f	etwee			

-0